



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJEMT_7474
Title of the Manuscript:	Empirical Evidence of Climate Change: Effects on Rice Production in the Northern Region of Ghana
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The study attempted to look at the effects that the climate change variables as well as the technology have on rice yields. A sound approach has been used, a fact that makes this manuscript be published not its current status but it requires some minor corrections.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Page 2 line 31: Remove phrase “especially rice” since it is a review of studies which not all about rice. Page 3 lines 55-56: Rephrase “Aside economic factors” to “ In addition to economic factors” Page 3 lines 64-68: The study is not a desktop review therefore the authors should have some likely reasons that make the farmers shift from upland to the low lands. Page 9 lines 269-271: for the purpose of presenting the results, the use of 2-3 significant figures is adequate.	Page 2 line 31: agree. Corrections effected Page 3 lines 55-56: Corrections effected Page 9 lines 269-271: Due to the fact that the differences in the digits after the decimal points of the climatic variables are very small (for example 1065.77 and 1062.76), it is prudent we use 4 decimal places in reporting the results.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The technology used by farmers needs to be described since it effect on the yield is significant.	Considering the fact that the research is not modelling the impact of technology on rice yield, it is not necessary to describe the technologies used.